## PROPOSED POLICY 6.143

**5-E** I recommend the Board approve the proposed new Policy 6.143, to be entitled "Preference for Local Vendors."

[Contact: Sharon Swan, 434-8214.]

## Development

- Since the initial reading on June 17, new subsections (4)(c) and (8)(b) have been added to clarify the relationship of this Policy to state law concerning construction procurement.
- On June 17, the Board discussed the proximity of some firms located in an adjoining county, immediately across the Palm Beach County boundary line. However, there was no clear direction for amending the proposed plan of giving a 4% preference to all vendors headquartered in the adjacent county. Due to the difficulty of artificially setting a line other than the official county boundary, it seems appropriate to retain the proposed 4% preference as is. Notably, the 4% preference for vendors in bordering counties is reasonably close in effect to the 5% preference for Palm Beach County vendors.
- Although Fla. Stat. § 287.087 may require giving a tie-breaking preference
  to vendors who certify that they have a drug-free workplace program, this
  amended text does not use "drug-free workplace" as a tie-breaker category
  in Section (5) because all District vendors are required to sign a drug-free
  workplace statement.

CONSENT ITEM

#### **PROPOSED NEW POLICY 6.143**

### PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS

- 1. Local Preference Policy.-- In a competitive procurement process (other than price-based bids for construction under Fla. Stat. § 235.31), businesses that are certified as local vendors will be given a preference as outlined in this Policy, consistent with Florida law and AGO 2001-65.
- Relation to Policies 6.14 and 6.142.-- This Policy shall be applied in conjunction
   with Board Policy 6.14, "Purchasing Department" and Policy 6.142, "Diversity in
   Business Practices" for the procurement of goods and services.
- 8 <u>3. Certification.-- Vendors interested in receiving local certification status shall</u> 9 <u>register with the Office of Diversity in Business Practices, subject to the following</u> 10 <u>criteria:</u>
- 11 <u>a. To be certified as a Palm Beach County local vendor, the company</u> 12 <u>headquarters must be located in Palm Beach County.</u>
- b. To be certified as local to a bordering county, the company headquarters must be located within that bordering county.
- c. To be certified as local to Florida, the company headquarters must be located
   within the boundaries of the State of Florida, other than Palm Beach County or
   a bordering county.

# 4. Application of the Preference

18

- a. Invitation to Bid.-- In a competitive procurement using an Invitation to Bid

  (ITB) process, vendors who are certified by the District as a local vendor shall

  receive the following preferences when evaluating the lowest and best bid:
- <u>i.</u> a five percent (5%) preference for firms certified as local to Palm Beach

  <u>County.</u>
- 24 <u>ii. a four percent (4%) preference for firms certified as local to a county</u> 25 <u>bordering Palm Beach county.</u>
- iii. a two percent (2%) preference for firms certified as local to Florida.
- b. Request for Proposals.-- In a competitive procurement process using a Request for Proposals (RFP), "Location of Business" will be a category in the

	r age of ci		
29	evaluation process, ranging in point value from zero percent (0%) to fiv		
30	percent (5%) of the overall points possible.		
31	i. Proposers certified as local shall receive points in the "Location of		
32	Business" category as follows:		
33	A. vendors who are certified as a Palm Beach County local vendor sha		
34	receive points equivalent to five percent (5%) of the overall point		
35	possible.		
36	B. vendors certified as local to a bordering county shall receive point		
37	equivalent to four percent (4%) of the overall points possible.		
38	C. vendors certified as local to Florida shall receive points equivalent t		
39	two percent (2%) of the overall points possible.		
40	ii. Vendors not certified as local to Palm Beach County, a bordering county		
41	or Florida, will not receive any points in the "Location of Business		
42	category; provided, however, that partial points may be awarded if a nor		
43	local vendor certifies that it will subcontract with a certified local vendor		
14	for part of the engagement. The ratio of partial points should correspon		
45	to the percentage of the project to be subcontracted.		
46	c. Request for Qualifications In a competitive procurement process using		
47	Request for Qualifications (RFQ), "Location of Business" will be a factor in th		
48	qualifications rating; and location may be considered through a range of poir		
<b>1</b> 9	values from zero percent (0%) to five percent (5%) of the overall point		
50	possible when rating the qualifications.		
51	i. For example, pursuant to AGO 2001-65 and as required by th		
52	Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act (CCNA), "location" will b		
53	considered along with the other factors listed in Fla. Stat. § 287.055(4)(b		
54	(ability, M/WBE certification, past performance, willingness to mee		
55	time/budget requirements, location, workloads, and volume of wor		
56	previously awarded) in determining whether an architect, enginee		
57	landscape architect, or surveying and mapping professional is "qualified		
58	in the qualifications phase of selection.		
59	ii. Location will likewise be considered in the qualifications phase when		
50	construction-management entity or program-management entity i		
51	selected through the CCNA process under Fla. Stat. § 235.211(1)(c)		
62	(d). In the selection of a design-build firm, location may be considered a		

- a qualifications factor as stated in paragraph (i) if the District elects to use
  the CCNA process, as authorized by Fla. Stat. § 235.211(1)(b) and
  287.055(3)-(5) & (9)(c). (For selection by RFP, see subsection (4)(b),
  above.)
  - iii. When points are used in rating qualifications factors, the procedure for awarding the percentages of preference in a qualifications process will be the same as set forth under subparagraphs (4)(b)(i)(A)-(C) above, and vendors not certified as local to Palm Beach County, a bordering county, or Florida, will not receive any points in the "Location of Business" category. However, partial points may be awarded to a non-local vendor who certifies during the qualifications phase that it will subcontract with a certified local vendor for part of the engagement. The ratio of partial points should correspond to the percentage of the project to be subcontracted. Accordingly, the subcontractor's qualifications should also be considered when rating the primary firm's qualifications.
- 5. Priority Sequence for Tied Results.-- When a tie exists in a competitive procurement process, priority for award shall be given in the following sequence (which does not list the drug-free workplace preference of § 287.087, Fla. Stat. because all District vendors are required to certify a drug-free workplace):
  - a. a vendor that is 1) certified a local vendor by the District; 2) certified as a minority/women business enterprise (M/WBE) firm by the District or the State of Florida; and 3) a small business as defined by the Small Business Administration.
- b. a vendor that is both 1) certified as a local vendor by the District and 2)
   certified as a minority/women business enterprise (M/WBE) firm by the District
   or the State of Florida.
  - c. a vendor certified as local to Palm Beach County.
- d. a vendor certified as local to a county that borders Palm Beach County.
- 91 <u>e. a vendor certified as local to Florida.</u>

67

68

69

70

71

72 73

74

75

76

77

82

83

84

85

89

- 92 <u>f. a vendor certified as a minority/women business enterprise (M/WBE) firm by</u> 93 <u>the District or the State of Florida.</u>
- 94 <u>6. Alternate Method for Resolving a Tie.-- If the application of the criteria in</u> 95 <u>Section (5) does not indicate a priority for award when the results are tied, the</u>

96	<u>av</u>	vard shall be decided as follows:
97	<u>a.</u>	Tied bids will be decided by a coin toss. The coin toss shall be held in the
98		Purchasing Department, with the tied low-bid vendors invited to be present as
99		<u>witnesses.</u>
.00	<u>b.</u>	Tied proposals will be decided by the lowest price.
.01	7. Utilization for Non-Competitive Procurement All schools and departments will	
.02	<u>be</u>	encouraged to utilize local vendors on all non-competitive procurement, when
.03	<u>pc</u>	<u>essible.</u>
.04	8. <b>E</b> x	cception to Application Consistent with AGO 2001-65, the preference
.05	<u>sy</u>	stem set forth in this Policy does not apply to construction procurement using
.06	<u>se</u>	aled price-based bids as defined in subsection (a), below.
.07	<u>a.</u>	For purposes of this Policy, the term "construction procurement" refers to
.07	<u>a.</u>	competitive selection for construction, remodeling, renovation, demolition, or
.09		other improvement of any educational or ancillary plant when the award is
10		made to the lowest responsible bidder under Fla. Stat. §§ 235.31 and
11		235.211(1)(a).
		<u>=00:=1+(+)(a):</u>
12	<u>b.</u>	This Section shall not preclude giving a statewide preference for construction
13		contracting when applicable under Fla. Stat. § 255.04.
14	<u>STATL</u>	ITORY AUTHORITY: §§ 230.22(2); 230.23005(2), Fla. Stat.
15	LAW(S	§§ 230.22(1); 230.23005(2)(a); 235.31; 287.055(4)(b);
16		287.017(1)(e), Fla. Stat.
17	RELEV	ANT ATTORNEY
18		RAL OPINIONS: AGOs 2001-65 and 2002-03.
19	HISTO	RY: / /2002
	<u> </u>	

119

5-E Board Report August 19, 2002 Page 6 of 6

Legal Signoff:	
The Legal Department has reviewed propose development by the Board.	d new Policy 6.143 and finds it legally sufficient for
Attorney	 Date