PROPOSED POLICY 6.143

8-A-2 I recommend the Board approve the proposed new Policy 6.143, to be entitled "Preference for Local Vendors," including amendments based on the Board's initial development discussion on June 17.

[Contact: Sharon Swan, 434-8214; or Bill Malone, 434-8510.]

♦ Further Development

- At the first reading for development on June 17, the School Board approved the proposed Policy, with suggested amendments.
- The Legal Department recommended bringing back this amended text to the Board for further development to verify incorporation of the amendments.
- Although Fla. Stat. § 287.087 may require giving a tie-breaking preference to vendors who certify that they have a drug-free workplace program, this amended text does not use "drug-free workplace" as a tie-breaker category in Section (5) because all District vendors are required to sign a drug-free workplace statement.
- On June 17, the Board discussed the proximity of some firms located in an adjoining county, immediately across the Palm Beach County boundary line. However, there was no clear direction for amending the proposed plan of giving a 4% preference to all vendors headquartered in the adjacent county. Due to the difficulty of artificially setting a line other than the official county boundary, it seems appropriate to retain the proposed 4% preference as is. Notably, the 4% preference for vendors in bordering counties is reasonably close in effect to the 5% preference for Palm Beach County vendors.
- A new paragraph (8)(b) has been added to clarify the relationship of this Policy to state statutes concerning construction procurement.
- Upon approval on July 17, this Policy will be scheduled for final reading and recommendation for Adoption on August 19.

PROPOSED NEW POLICY 6.143

PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS

1	1.	Local Preference Policy In a competitive procurement process other than
2		construction procurement, businesses that are certifed as local vendors will be given a
3		preference as outlined in this Policy, consistent with Florida law and AGO 2001-65.

- 2. Relation to Policies 6.14 and 6.142.— This Policy shall be applied in conjunction with Board Policy 6.14, "Purchasing Department" and Policy 6.142, "Diversity in Business Practices" for the procurement of goods and services.
- 7 <u>3. Certification.-- Vendors interested in receiving local certification status shall register</u> 8 <u>with the Office of Diversity in Business Practices, subject to the following criteria:</u>
- a. To be certified as a Palm Beach County local vendor, the company headquarters
 must be located in Palm Beach County.
- b. To be certified as local to a bordering county, the company headquarters must be located within that bordering county.
- 13 <u>c. To be certified as local to Florida, the company headquarters must be located</u>
 14 <u>within the boundaries of the State of Florida, other than Palm Beach County or a</u>
 15 bordering county.

4. **Application of the Preference**

- a. Invitation to Bid.— In a competitive procurement using an Invitation to Bid (ITB)

 process, vendors who are certified by the District as a local vendor shall receive
 the following preferences when evaluating the lowest and best bid:
- i. a five percent (5%) preference for firms certified as local to Palm Beach
 County.
- 22 <u>ii. a four percent (4%) preference for firms certified as local to a county</u> 23 bordering Palm Beach county.
- 24 <u>iii.</u> a two percent (2%) preference for firms certified as local to Florida.
- b. Request for Proposals.— In a competitive procurement process using a Request for Proposals (RFP), "Location of Business" will be a category in the evaluation process, ranging in point value from zero percent (0%) to five percent (5%) of the

on of Business"		
.1		
. I		
<u>al vendor shall</u>		
overall points		
receive points		
<u>ible.</u>		
s equivalent to		
ring county, or		
ness" category;		
<u>n-local vendor</u>		
<u>gement.</u>		
e procurement		
process, priority for award shall be given in the following sequence (which does not		
list the drug-free workplace preference of § 287.087, Fla. Stat, because all District		
certified as a		
or the State of		
dministration.		
and 2) certified		
rict or the State		
unty.		
<u>ınty.</u>		
<u>unty.</u> BE) firm by the		

- 57 <u>6. Alternate Method for Resolving a Tie.-- If the application of the criteria in Section (5)</u>
 58 <u>does not indicate a priority for award when the results are tied, the award shall be</u>
 59 decided as follows:
- 60 <u>a. Tied bids will be decided by a coin toss. The coin toss shall be held in the</u>
 61 <u>Purchasing Department, with the tied low-bid vendors invited to be present as</u>
 62 <u>witnesses.</u>
- b. Tied proposals will be decided by the lowest price.
- 7. Utilization for Non-Competitive Procurement.— All schools and departments will be encouraged to utilize local vendors on all non-competitive procurement, when possible.
- 8. Exception to Application.— The preference system set forth in this Policy does not apply to construction procurement.
- a. For purposes of this Policy, the term "construction procurement" refers to
 procurement of design professionals and other professionals used in constructionrelated fields, as well as all procurement for new school and major
 modernization construction projects with a budget of two hundred fifty thousand
 dollars (\$250,000) or more.
 - b. Section (8) of this Policy is not intended to preclude giving a statewide preference for construction contracting pursuant to § 255.04, Fla. Stat.; nor is it intended to preclude considering "location," along with the other factors listed in § 287.055(4)(b), Fla. Stat., in determining whether an architect, engineer, design-build firm, landscape architect, or surveying and mapping professional is "qualified" in the qualifications phase of selection under the Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act (CCNA), pursuant to AGOs 2001-65 and 2002-03.
- 81 <u>STATUTORY AUTHORITY: §§ 230.22(2); 230.23005(2), Fla. Stat.</u>
- 82 <u>LAW(S) IMPLEMENTED:</u> §§ 230.22(1); 230.23005(2)(a); 235.31; 287.055(4)(b); 83 287.017(1)(e), Fla. Stat.
- 84 ATTORNEY GENERAL

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

- 85 OPINIONS CITED: 2001-65; 2002-03.
- 86 HISTORY: / /2002

8-A-2Board Report **July 17**, 2002
Page 5 of 5

Legal Signoff:	
The Legal Department has reviewed prosufficient for development by the Board	oposed new Policy 6.143 and finds it legally d.
Attorney	 Date