FY2015 Teacher Evaluations

State Required and District Selected Student Performance Models

CAO May 2015

GOALS FOR TODAY

- HB 7069 Impact
- Provide Info to Inform Teachers
 - Student Performance Components
 - What tests will be used
 - How tests will be used
 - Which scores will apply
 - Final Evaluation Rating Scale

HB 7069 Impact

 Requires an independent verification of the psychometric validity of the FSA

 Must be completed before School Grades and <u>Teacher Evaluations</u> are released

Required to be completed by September 1, 2015

HB 7069 Impact

- Teacher Evaluations must be based upon
 - At least 33% Instructional Practice
 - At least 33% Student Performance
 - Remaining on Other Indicators of Performance
 - Professional and Job Responsibilities
 - Peer Reviews
 - Reliable Surveys of students and parents
 - Other
- State determined VAM cut score
- Requires teachers less than Effective to have specific professional development plan

REVIEW

FY2015 Student Performance Component Goals

- No additional assessments
- Comply with state requirements
- Provide fair and equitable outcomes
- Provide feedback for improvement

Indicates New in FY2015

FY2015 Committee Input

- Joint Evaluation Negotiation Committee (JENC)
 - -CTA
 - District Administration
 - Teachers
 - Principals
 - Teachers
- Student Performance Steering Committee
 - Elementary, Middle, High School Principals
 - Teachers
 - District Administrators

District Decisions

Student Performance Component

- How to apply State VAM for Ratings
- -FY2015 assessments to be used
- Model(s) for using assessments
- Which assessment(s) applied to teachers based on grade level, subject area, courses or roles
- Weighting percentages for components

FY2015 STATE REQUIREMENTS

Florida Teacher Evaluation System

Statutory Requirements

- 2011: Established by Student Success Act (SB 736)
- 2013-14: F.S. 1012.34, F.S.1008.22 and S.B. 1664

Teacher Evaluation Components

- Instructional Practice
- Student Performance Measures
- Professional Job Responsibility

FY2014 Legislative Impact

 SB 1664: Student Performance components shall be based on the students assigned to teacher



FY2015 Overall Requirements

Components of Teacher Evaluations

- Instructional Trice (589 48%)
- Professional Job spor Alities (2%)
- Student Performa
 AM" (40% 50%)
 - 50% if a teacher had ars of VAM data (reading or mathematics)
 - State will provide commend 3-year score

FY2015 Overall Requirements

What Will My Evaluation Look Like? Did You Have Individual VAM Data from the State in the following Years?				
Dia	You Have Inc	ilviduai VAM	Data from the St	
2011- 2012*	2012- 2013*	13-	(Instruc	Practice/Student Growth/ ressional Growth)
_	-			58 / 40 / 2
1	>	>		58 / 40 / 2
X	X	V		58 / 40 / 2
X	\	\checkmark		58 / 40 / 2
/	>	X		58 / 40 / 2
		V		48 / 50 / 2
— No data (on leave, not ye Individual VAM Data Other student growth Inning Reading Rec thool Score)				

Please be advised that if dual score was provided by the four the state, but you did not receive an individual score because of a JENC Decision (hold narmless), you would still receive a 50% student growth for your FY15 evaluation. If you teach and have been teaching ELA, Reading, or Math in grades 4-10 over the past four years (this being the fourth year) you would have received an Individual VAM Score from the FDOE.

FY2015 Teacher Evaluation Components Weighting

Instructional Practice

? %

Student Performance*

? %

Professional Growth

? %

100%

*For FY15, reduce impact of student performance component based on FSA.

FY2015 Teacher Evaluation Components Weighting

 Instructional Practice 	65%
 Student Performance* 	33%
 Professional Practice 	2%

*For FY15, limit impact of student performance component based on FSA.

FY2015 Required Statewide Test Data for Teacher Evaluations

Statewide Value-added Model (VAM) Data

FSA English Language Arts
 Grades 4-10

– FSA Math– Grades 4-8

Algebra 1Grades 8 & 9

Other Statewide Assessments Required in FY2015

FSA English Language ArtsGrade 3

FSA MathGrade 3

NGSSS ScienceGrades 5, 8

Civics, Algebra 2, Geometry, Biology 1, US History

INFO FOR TEACHERS

District Pre/Post-Test (Cohort) Model

PRE-TEST

Teachers bracketed into "COHORTS" based on average prior performance of students

- Avg. FCAT Reading Level
- Ex: Teacher's students on average are Level 1

POST-TEST

Teachers compared to District average based on *average current performance*

Students Assigned to Teachers

- Based on Teacher Schedules in TERMS
 - FTE Roster Audits
- Student must be assigned in TERMS
- Assigned to teacher both Survey 2 (October) and Survey 3 (February)
- Have scores for Pre-Test and Post-Test

Elementary School Teachers State VAM or Pre/Post-Test ("Cohort") Model

Grade	Student Performance (Post-Test)	Cohort (Pre-Test)
K	Elementary Literacy Behaviors (C)	Elementary Literacy Behaviors
1-2	Palm Beach Performance Assessment (C)	Palm Beach Performance Assessment
3	Grade 3 FSA ELA (C)	Grade 2 Spring Diagnostic
3	Grade 3 FSA Math (C)	Grade 2 Spring Diagnostic
4-5	Grade 4/5 FSA ELA (VAM)	Grade 3 or 4 FCAT 2.0 Reading
4-5	Grade 4/5 FSA Math (VAM)	Grade 3 or 4 FCAT 2.0 Math
5	Grade 5 FCAT 2.0 Science (C)	Grade 4 FCAT 2.0 Reading

^{*}State Value-added Model is applied

KG: Early Literacy Behaviors Scale

- Student must maintain or improves trajectory in each measure
- Cohort based on average level of students in Trimester 1

2: 35-45

3: 46-50

4: 51-52

2: 7-14

3: 15-20

4: 21-52

Pre-Test	Post-Test	Pre-Test	Post-Test	
(Tri 1)	(Tri 3)	(Tri 1)	(Tri 3)	
1. Oral Language		4. Letter Sour	4. Letter Sound	
0: 0	0: 0-4	0: 0	0: 0-8	
1: 1-2	1: 5-6	1: 1-4	1: 9-13	
2: 3-4	2: 7-9	2: 5-8	2: 14-16	
3: 5-6	3: 10	3: 9-13	3: 17-18	
4: 7+	4: 11+	4: 14+	4: 19+	
2. Concepts of P	2. Concepts of Print		5. Word List	
0: 0	0: 0-6	0: 0	0: 0-9	
1: 1	1: 7	1: 1-4	1: 10-24	
2: 2-3	2: 8	2: 5-9	2: 25-44	
3: 4-5	3: 9	3: 10-24	3: 45-55	
4: 6+	4: 10	4: 25+	4: 56+	
3. Combined Letter ID				
0: 0	0: 0-17			

KG: Early Literacy Behaviors Scale

- Student must maintain or improves trajectory in each measure
- Cohort based on average level of students in Trimester 1

Pre-Test	Post-Test	Pre-Test	Post-Test
(Tri 1)	(Tri 3)	(Tri 1)	(Tri 3)
1. Oral Language		4. Letter Sou	ınd
0: 0	0: 0-4	0: 0	0: 0-8
1: 1-2	1: 5-6	1: 1-4	1: 9-13
2: 3-4	2: 7-9	2: 5-8	2: 14-16
3: 5-6	3: 10	3: 9-13	3: 17-18
4: 7+	4: 11+	4: 14+	4: 19+
2. Concepts of Print		5. Word List	
0: 0	0: 0-6	0: 0	0: 0-9
1: 1	1: 7	1: 1-4	1: 10-24
2: 2-3	2: 8	2: 5-9	2: 25-44
3: 4-5	3: 9	3: 10-24	3: 45-55
4: 6+	4: 10	4: 25+	4: 56+
3. Combined Let	ter ID		
0: 0	0: 0-17		

3. Combined Letter ID			
0: 0	0: 0-17		
1: 1-6	1: 18-34		
2: 7-14	2: 35-45		
3: 15-20	3: 46-50		
4: 21-52	4: 51-52		

Grade 1-2: PBPA

- Cohort based on average level of students on Pre-Test

Grade 1 – Scoring Table

Number of	Scaled
Points	Score
1-6	1
7 – 10	2
11 – 14	3
15 – 18	4*
19 – 21	5
22 - 24	6

^{*}End of Year Proficiency: Level 4

Grade 2 – Scoring Table

Number of	Scaled
Points	Score
1 – 10	1
11 – 18	2
19 – 25	3
26 – 32	4*
33 – 38	5
39 – 42	6

^{*}End of Year Proficiency: Level 4

Elementary School Teacher Student Performance Score Types

Student Performance Score Type	Description		
Elementary Literacy Behaviors (ELB)	 Majority of students in Grades K 10+ students with ELB scores 		
Palm Beach Performance Assessment (PBPA)	 Majority of students in Grades 1-2 10+ students with PBPA scores 		
Grade 3 FSA ELA/Math	Majority of students in Grade 3Assigned Grade 3 ELA/Reading/Math courses		
FSA ELA/Math VAM	 Assigned Grade 4-5 ELA/Reading/Math courses 10+ students with Grade 4-5 ELA/Reading/Math scores 		
FSA Combined ELA/Math VAM (Non-FSA Instruction)	 Not assigned ELA/Reading/Math courses 10+ students in Grades 4-5 with ELA/Reading/Math scores 		
Grade 5 FCAT 2.0 Science	 Assigned Grade 5 Science course 10+ students with Grade 5 Science scores 		
School VAM	 Do not have 10+ students with scores More than 1 school assigned, District VAM Score 		

^{*}Scores may be combined for teachers based on State tested course/grade assignment Based on FTE Survey 2 – 3 match and minimum of 10 students

FY2015 Teacher Evaluation Component Rating Scale

HE	EFF	NI	UN
3.2 - 4.0	2.1 - 3.1	1.2 - 2.0	1.0 - 1.1

IP (65%)	SP (33%)	PP (2%)	Final Rating
4	4	4	4
4	3	4	3.7
4	2	4	3.3
4	1	4	3.0
3	4	4	3.4
3	3	4	3.0
3	2	4	2.7
3	1	4	2.4
2	4	4	2.7
2	3	4	2.4
2	2	4	2.0
2	1	4	1.7
1	4	4	2.1
1	3	4	1.7
1	2	4	1.4
1	1	4	1.1

Key Dates

May 2015

- Finalize Instructional Practice Scores
- Professional Growth Survey

September 2015

- State validation results due
- *Release of VAM results
- *Release of student FSA results

Questions?